EXHIBIT D

I	
1 2 3	SEEGER WEISS, LLP BY: MR. CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER MR. ASA R. DANES 77 Water Street New York, New York 10005
4	DOUGLAS & LONDON, P.C.
5	BY: MR. MICHAEL A. LONDON 59 Maiden Lane
6	6th Floor New York, New York 10038
7	,
8	BURG SIMPSON BY: MR. SETH A. KATZ
9	40 Inverness Drive East
10	Englewood, Colorado 80112
11	REED SMITH, LLP BY: MR. DAVID E. STANLEY
12	MS. JANET H. KWUON
13	355 South Grand Avenue Suite 2900
14	Los Angeles, California 90071
15	ULMER BERNE, LLP
16	BY: MR. JEFFREY GEOPPINGER 600 Vine Street
17	Suite 2800 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
18	LANCE COLLOLED LLD
19	KAYE SCHOLER, LLP BY: MR. ANDREW K. SOLOW
20	425 Park Avenue New York, New York 10022
21	LEVIN BARATONIA TUOMA MITCUELI
22	LEVIN, PAPATONIO, THOMAS, MITCHELL, RAFFERTY & PROCTOR
23	BY: MR. BRANDON L. BOGLE 316 South Baylen Street
24	Suite 600 Pensacola, Florida 32591
25	

	u varianti di santa d
1 2 3 4 5	DLA PIPER, US LLP BY: MR. MATTHEW A. HOLIAN 33 Arch Street 26th Street Boston, Massachusetts 02110
6	
7	
8	Also Present: Other counsel whose names were not stated on the record.
9	Stated on the record.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	LAURA M. BRENNAN - Official Court Reporter
24	LAURA M. BRENNAN - Official Court Reporter 219 South Dearborn Street - Room 2102 Chicago, Illinois 60604
25	Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 435-5785

be the judge in the MDL case.

Yes, I have changed my mind on stuff, but you would figure that if two years from now in a bellwether case, I rule on a motion for summary judgment that there's no evidence of general causation of cardiovascular incidence, it's a pretty good indication that I'm going to rule the same way in the other, you know, 900 cases in which that comes up. So I think you get to the same place.

MR. GLAUBERMAN: Well, I would agree except that the efficiencies are completely lost by doing it that way, by doing it the way that the plaintiffs have proposed.

THE COURT: So the efficiencies you're talking about are lost. The efficiencies that they're talking about are not lost because what happens --

Now, I don't know what the ruling's going to be. I mean, I guess part -- I will tell you, honestly, part of my concern with this motion -- and I don't say this critically -- it's a little bit of a mini summary judgment motion. It kind of is. I mean, you're asking me to make sort of a preliminary indication, yes, this is kind of a weak case and so I should do it this way. I have some sort of visceral discomfort about that just from life experience as a judge.

But, you know, the problem on the other side is that if you end up not prevailing -- if the defense ends up not prevailing on the summary judgment, all of a sudden this looks

like an incredibly inefficient way of doing things, an incredibly inefficient way of doing things, because we have gone two years down the road, or whatever it is. I get the summary --

MR. GLAUBERMAN: It's about a year and a half.

THE COURT: No. 2016, right?

MR. GLAUBERMAN: I think it's July of -- yes, July of 2016.

THE COURT: So that's close to two years. I'm not going to rule on it within three days of getting it, okay, just so you know.

We have gotten two years down the road, and the discovery on everything else has been on a very long pause button, and then we start. And then we start.

MR. GLAUBERMAN: Well, the discovery on the other things is certainly paused. However, under our schedule, it does begin even as the causation expert proceedings are underway. So we're not proposing a schedule, as we did actually earlier in our discussions with plaintiffs, where the other discovery is put off substantially after the Daubert challenges and that sort of thing. That discovery actually gets underway while the Daubert challenges are ongoing.

So I see that issue, but there are lots of different ways that this could be sliced and diced. Putting the general causation first doesn't have to result in an extraordinarily

All right, take care. (Which were all the proceedings had in the above-entitled cause on the day and date aforesaid.) CERTIFICATE I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the above-entitled matter. /s/ Laura M. Brennan October 24, 2014 Laura M. Official Court Reporter Northern District of Illinois Date